

---

# **What Should Governments Examine in Acquiring COTS Open Source Software (OSS)?**

**David A. Wheeler  
Institute for Defense Analyses  
February 2, 2004**

*This presentation contains the views of the author and does not indicate endorsement by IDA, the U.S. government, or the U.S. Department of Defense.  
Copies available at [http://www.dwheeler.com/government\\_oss.pdf](http://www.dwheeler.com/government_oss.pdf)*

# Outline

---

- **Same (OSS vs. Proprietary)**
- **Different (OSS vs. Proprietary)**
- **OSS Challenges**
- **Closing Remarks**

# Same (OSS vs. Proprietary)

---

- **Negotiate best options with all parties, *then* select**
  - Good: Israeli government's process
  - Unwise: Media reports of Newham Borough Council's
- **Evaluation criteria**
  - Functionality, cost, market share, support, maintenance/longevity, reliability, performance, scalability, flexibility, legal/license (inc. *rights and responsibilities*), other
- **Warranty & indemnification**
  - Disclaimed by *both* proprietary & OSS licenses (generally)
  - Indemnification exception: Linux (OSDL, HP, RH, Novell)
- **Developer trustworthiness usually unknown**
  - Can review OSS code & sometimes proprietary

OSS gives rights to view, modify, and redistribute

# Different (OSS vs. Proprietary)

---

- **Process&code openness means more&different sources of evaluation information**
  - Bug databases, mailing list discussions, ...
  - Anyone can review/comment on design
  - Anyone (inc. you) can evaluate source code for security
  - See [http://www.dwheeler.com/oss\\_fs\\_eval.html](http://www.dwheeler.com/oss_fs_eval.html)
- **Proprietary=pay/use, OSS=pay/improvement**
  - In either case pay for installation, training, support
  - In OSS, pay can be time and/or money
- **Support can be competed & changed**
  - OSS vendors, government support contracts, self
- **OSS can be modified & redistributed**
  - New option, but need to know when to modify
  - Forking usually fails; generally work with community

# OSS Challenges

---

- 1. Ensuring OSS considered in acquisitions**
  - Acquisition processes developed before OSS rise
  - Many OSS projects don't respond to solicitations&RFPs
- 2. Ensuring license compliance**
  - No *use* requirements. Education needed on redistributing copyleft (but easier than proprietary)
- 3. CC security evaluations (no funding model)**
  - Government-funded evaluation for free use/support?
- 4. Support if there's no traditional vendor**
- 5. Dependence on proprietary formats/protocols**
  - Use open standards. DoD JTA (DISR) wants: mature, not proprietary, publicly held, multi-vendor commercial support, international>national, don't require patents, ...
  - Government policy issue: software patents justified?

# Closing Remarks

---

- **OSS options should always be considered**
- **OSS can be very flexible & often lower costs**
  - Directly and as competition
- **Need to understand differences to apply OSS**
- **OSS raises strategic questions for governments**
  - Level-playing-field (e.g., U.S. DoD)
  - Other: Industrial policy (encourage local services & research), counter dependency on external entity, transparency in government, longevity of records, ...
- **Need creativity**
  - Risk mitigation, not risk avoidance
  - Hybrid OSS/proprietary, paying for OSS improvements, or starting OSS project (esp. in research or standards-setting) *might* be the best option in your case

# Acronyms

---

- **COTS: Commercial Off-the-Shelf (either proprietary or OSS)**
- **DoD: Department of Defense**
- **HP: Hewlett-Packard Corporation**
- **JTA: Joint Technical Architecture (list of standards for the DoD); being renamed to DISR**
- **OSDL: Open Source Development Labs**
- **OSS: Open Source Software**
- **RFP: Request for Proposal**
- **RH: Red Hat, Inc.**
- **U.S.: United States**

# Interesting Documents/Sites

---

- **“Why OSS/FS? Look at the Numbers!”**  
[http://www.dwheeler.com/oss\\_fs\\_why.html](http://www.dwheeler.com/oss_fs_why.html)
- **“Use of Free and Open Source Software in the US Dept. of Defense”** (MITRE, sponsored by DISA)
- **President's Information Technology Advisory Committee (PITAC) -- Panel on Open Source Software for High End Computing, October 2000**
- **“Open Source Software (OSS) in the DoD,”** DoD memo signed by John P. Stenbit (DoD CIO), May 28, 2003
- **Center of Open Source and Government (EgovOS)**  
<http://www.egovos.org/>
- **OpenSector.org** <http://opensector.org>
- **Open Source and Industry Alliance** <http://www.osaia.org>
- **Open Source Initiative** <http://www.opensource.org>
- **Free Software Foundation** <http://www.fsf.org>
- **OSS/FS References**  
[http://www.dwheeler.com/oss\\_fs\\_refs.html](http://www.dwheeler.com/oss_fs_refs.html)